

REMARKS

The amendments to the specification were made in compliance with the Examiner's request.

Amended claim 16 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 21.

Claim 21 has been amended to include the limitation from prior claim 22.

Claim 22 has been rewritten in independent form and includes all the limitations of prior claim 16 and claim 22.

Claim 24 has been rewritten in independent form and includes all the limitations of prior claim 16 and 24.

New claims 27-33 depend from claim 22 and correspond to prior dependent claims 17-21 and 24-25 which depended from claim 16.


New claims 34-40 depend from claim 24 and correspond to prior dependent claims 17-22 and 25 which depended from claim 16.

New claims 41 and 42 depend from claim 16 and correspond to prior dependent claims 24 and 25.

Claims 16-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Livesey-Goldblatt in view of Virnig '380. Applicant has amended claim 16 to include the limitation of claim 21. Presumably, claim 21 is only rejected because it depends from a rejected claim. Since the Examiner has not rejected claim 21 based on any prior art, it is presumed that claim 16 would now be allowable since it includes the limitation of claim 21. Therefore, Applicant submits that the prior art rejection is overcome and request reconsideration and allowance of the claims.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Jennifer P. Yancy
Registration No. 47,003

JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.
P.O. Box 2266 Eads Station
Arlington, VA 22202
(703) 415-1500
Dated: 17 August 2005

Case No. P.19463/MAJR